### Leg infrared surface temperatures and tibia morphology of broilers with normal and abnormal gaits Anna Magnaterra<sup>\* 1</sup>, Randy Mitchell<sup>2</sup>, Zoie McMillian<sup>1</sup>, Ashlyn Synder <sup>1</sup>, Shawna Weimer <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Maryland, <sup>2</sup>Perdue Foods LLC

### Background

Controlling issues related to leg health in broiler chickens is an important welfare concern. It is not uncommon for boiler chickens to have an abnormal gait, which could indicate a variety of welfare concerns including skeletal abnormalities, infectious or noninfectious lameness. Gait scoring (GS) is a useful measure to understand a bird's leg health and welfare status but gait scoring presents a serious challenge due to the subjectivity of the test.

This brings a need for more quantitative measures of leg health.

# Objective

Through this study we were able to compare quantitative measures of leg health and bone morphology between broilers with normal and abnormal gaits.

# Materials & Methods

On d51, live and post-mortem measures were collected from 120 randomly selected conventional broiler chickens from 20 pens (N=6 birds/pen).

Live observations and measures :

➢ GS (binomial scale, where 0 was a normal gait and 1 was an abnormal gait) Body weight (BW)

Infrared thermal (IR) image of the legs

#### Post-mortem morphological measures of the right and left tibia: Total length



Proximal head angle



> Depth of the proximal and distal intercondylar areas

## **Statistical Methods**

Means and deltas of both legs and tibiae were calculated for each bird. Data was analyzed in JMP using a paired t-test for the fixed effect of GS (0, 1) for the data collected in the materials and methods.

### Results

There was no effect of BW or length of the tibia on GS. IR measures showed an effect (P≤0.05) of GS on the mean temperature of the hock and shank for GS 1 birds (hock=36.95°C, shank=36.29°C) compared to GS 0 (hock=37.36°C, shank= 37.01°C). For both the hock and shank the temperature decreased for increasing GS.

Although, there did not appear to be a difference be relationship between GS and delta of width of the tibia, there was an effect of mean tibia width at 75% and 50% of total length were greater (P≤0.04) for GS 1birds (75%=14.31mm, 50%=10.43mm) compared to GS 0 (75%=13.89mm, 50%=10.10mm).

When looking at the proximal head there was a tendency for the mean tibial angle of GS 1 birds to be greater (P=0.06) than GS 0. In addition the mean delta angle of the proximal tibial head for GS 1 birds was greater than GS (GS  $1\Delta$ =6.19°), GS 0 ( $\Delta$ =3.79°; P=0.001), while the mean delta depth of the proximal medial intercondylar area of GS 1 birds (0.36mm) was less than (P=0.03) than GS 0 (0.49mm).



Width at proximal and distal tibia heads, and 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 90% proportion of total length







Figure 1: Mean IR temperature(°C) measures of hock, shank, and foot



Figure 3: a) Mean tibia intercondylar depth(mm). b) Mean of the absolute value of the delta difference between left and right tibial intercondylar depth per bird.



Head Figure 4: a) Mean tibia width(mm) at 90%,75%, 50%,25%,10% of the total length in the direction of distal(0%) to proximal(100%) end on the bone. b) Absolute value of the mean delta difference between left and right tibial width per bird.

### Conclusions

- > Infrared thermography leg temperatures and tibia bone measurements show potential as quantitative measures of broiler leg health.
- > Direct morphological measures can provide valuable insight on physiological differences, which arise with increased GS, while mean IR temperature provides a quantitative indirect measure of leg health.
- > Future studies focused on proximal head measures and the delta difference between the left and right bone can help create quantitative measures of leg health for individual birds.

# Acknowledgements

This project was completed on farm at Perdue Foods LLC. A special thank you to Randy Mitchel at Perdue Foods LLC for the opportunity to collaborate on improving welfare for broilers.

Figure 2: a) Mean proximal tibial angle measures. b) Mean of the absolute value of the delta difference between left and right proximal tibia angle.



